## FEEDBACK REPORT 2019-20

## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON SUBJECT

The feedback cell of Govt. N.P.G. College of Science adopted an online procurement of student feedback in the current session 2019-20. There were 1534 responses of the students various subjects which includes curriculum.

There was an overwhelming participation of students in providing feedback more so over during corona pandemic period.

Student's of B.Sc. I, II \& III, BCA I, II \& III, M.Sc. I Sem., II Sem., III Sem. \& IV Sem. Participated in good number.

Students participation is as follows -
The feedback on various subjects have been obtained, which includes Biochemistry, Biotechnology, Botany, Chemistry, Defence Studies, Computer Science, English, Geology, Hindi, Information Technology, Mathematics, Microbiology, Physics, Zoology.

1- The feedback on learning, values (skill, concept, knowledge analytical capacity, related to extension) :
A) $21.1 \%$ students ( 323 students) rated it as excellent
B) $25.6 \%$ students ( 393 students) rated it as very good
C) $30.1 \%$ students ( 461 students) rated it as good
D) $12.9 \%$ students ( 198 students) rated it as average
E) $10.4 \%$ students ( 159 students) rated it as poor.

2- The feedback on "Utility or relation of subject in day to day situation" :
Obtained is as follows -
A) $19.7 \%$ ( 302 students) rated it as excellent
B) $26.3 \%$ ( 404 students) rated it as very good
C) $28.9 \%$ ( 444 students) rated it as good
D) $16.9 \%$ ( 247 students) rated it as average
E) $8.9 \%$ (137 students) rated it as poor

3- The feedback on "Seriousness of units in Curriculum" obtained is as follows :
A) $22.3 \%$ ( 342 students) rated it as excellent
B) $26 \%$ ( 399 students) rated it as very good
C) $30.7 \%$ ( 471 students) rated it as good
D) $10.8 \%$ ( 166 students) rated it as average
E) $10.2 \%$ ( 156 students) rated it as poor

4- The feedback on "Extensiveness of syllabii" :
A) $23.9 \%$ ( 366 students) rated it as excellent
B) $28.9 \%$ ( 443 students) rated it as very good
C) $26.7 \%$ ( 409 students) rated it as good
D) $10.6 \%$ ( 162 students) rated it as average
E) $10 \%$ ( 154 students) rated it as poor

5- Clarity and relation of syllabii :
A) $24.1 \%$ ( 371 students) rated it as excellent
B) $26.3 \%$ ( 406 students) rated it as very good
C) $28.1 \%$ ( 433 students) rated it as good
D) $10.8 \%$ (167 students) rated it as average
E) $10.6 \%$ (164 students) rated it as poor

6- Essential efforts made by the students:
A) $21.6 \%$ ( 333 students) rated it as excellent
B) $26.4 \%$ ( 407 students) rated it as very good
C) $31 \%$ (478 students) rated it as good
D) $11 \%$ (170 students) rated it as average
E) $9.9 \%$ (153 students) rated it as poor

7- Relation of projects/report with reference to values of learning :
A) $22.5 \%$ ( 346 students) rated it as excellent
B) $26 \%$ (401 students) rated it as very good
C) $29.3 \%$ ( 451 students) rated it as good
D) $13 \%$ (201 students) rated it as average
E) $9.2 \%$ (142 students) rated it as poor
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## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

There were 1640 responses from the students on obtaining students feedback on teachers. The percent of students participation of various classes are as follows-
B.Sc. I $32.4 \%$ (532) students parents provided feedback
B.Sc. II $29.1 \%$ (471) student's parents provided feedback
B.Sc. III $24.1 \%$ (396) student's parents provided feedback

BCA $5.1 \%$ (25) student's parents provided feedback
M.Sc. $12.7 \%$ (209) student's parents provided feedback

Following are the areas on which student's gave their feedback -
1- Subject preparation of teachers before entering the class :
A) $42.2 \%$ ( 692 students) graded it as excellent
B) $24.9 \%$ ( 403 students) graded it as very good
C) $18.6 \%$ ( 305 students) graded it as good
D) $5.2 \%$ ( 86 students) graded it as average
E) $9.4 \%$ ( 154 students) graded it as poor.

2- Whether the syllabus was completed within the given period?
A) $35.5 \%$ ( 582 students) ranked it as excellent
B) $27 \%$ (443 students) ranked it as very good
C) $\mathbf{2 0 . 7 \%}$ (340 students) ranked it as good
D) $6.8 \%$ (112 students) ranked it as average
E) $9.9 \%$ ( 163 students) ranked it as poor.

3- Whether the professors encourage students to pose questions?
A) $37.8 \%$ ( 620 students) rated it as excellent
B) $24.8 \%$ ( 406 students) rated it as very good
C) $21 \%$ ( 345 students) rated it as good
D) $6.2 \%$ (101 students) rated it as average.
E) $10.2 \%$ ( 168 students) rated it as poor.

4- Whether the teacher enters the classroom on time :
A) $42.2 \%$ ( 692 students) rated it as excellent
B) $23.8 \%$ ( 391 students) rated it as very good
C) $18 \%$ ( 295 students) rated it as good
D) $4.9 \%$ ( 80 students) rated it as average
E) $11.1 \%$ ( 182 students) rated it as poor.

5- Efficiency of professor (clarity \& pronunciation) :
A) $43.7 \%$ ( 716 students) rated it as excellent
B) $22.2 \%$ (373 students) rated it as very good
C) $18 \%$ ( 295 students) rated it as good
D) $5.3 \%$ ( 87 students) rated it as average
E) $10.3 \%$ (169 students) rated it as poor

6- Capacity of professors to arouse curiosity :
A) $39.3 \%$ ( 645 students) rated it as excellent
B) $25.2 \%$ ( 413 students) rated it as very good
C) $18.4 \%$ (301 students) rated it as good
D) $7 \%$ (115 students) rated it as average
E) $10.1 \%$ ( 166 students) rated it as poor.

7- Capacity of professors to link the subject of the syllabus with environment and other issues :
A) $33.5 \%$ ( 549 students) rated it as excellent
B) $25.5 \%$ ( 418 students) rated it as very good
C) $22.9 \%$ ( 376 students) rated it as good
D) $8.7 \%$ (143 students) rated it as average
E) $9.4 \%$ (154 students) rated it as poor

8- Readiness of the teacher to promote interaction inside and outside the class :
A) $34.1 \%$ ( 559 students) rated it as excellent
B) $24 \%$ ( 393 students) rated it as very good
C) $22.8 \%$ ( 374 students) rated it as good
D) $9.4 \%$ ( 154 students) rated it as average
E) $9.8 \%$ (160 students) rated it as poor

9- Capacity to organize the questionnaire, examination paper, project design in relation to the Subject :
A) $35.3 \%$ ( 579 students) rated it as excellent
B) $25.4 \%$ ( 416 students) rated it as very good
C) $22.3 \%$ ( 366 students) rated it as good
D) $7.4 \%$ (122 students) rated it as average
E) $9.6 \%$ (157 students) rated it as poor

10- Subject knowledge of the teachers :
A) $49.8 \%$ ( 816 students) graded it as excellent
B) $23 \%$ ( 377 students) graded it as very good
C) $14.2 \%$ (233 students) graded it as good
D) $3.2 \%$ (53 students) graded it as average
E) $9.8 \%$ (161 students) graded it as poor

11- Commitment of professor :
A) $44.9 \%$ ( 736 students) rated it as excellent
B) $22.5 \%$ ( 369 students) rated it as very good
C) $17.4 \%$ ( 285 students) rated it as good
D) $5.1 \%$ ( 84 students) rated it as average
E) $10.1 \%$ ( 166 students) rated it as poor
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## STUDENT'S FEEDBACK ON VARIOUS OTHER AREAS

## Student's Feedback on Cycle Stand -

There were 775 responses from the students of various classes B.Sc. I, II \& III, BCA I, II \& III, M.Sc. I Sem., II Sem., III Sem. \& IV Sem. Their feedback is as follows:

01- On being asked about the behaviour of the cycle stand employees $91.1 \%$ (706 students) rated it as good and only $8.9 \%$ (69) students rated it as not satisfactory.

02- On being asked "Whether the cycle stand has sufficient space?" 650 ( $83.9 \%$ ) students rated it as yes and only 125 ( $16.1 \%$ ) students rated it as no.

03- The students when questioned about the availability of shade in cycle stand? $56.5 \%$ (438) students replied it as yes and $43.5 \%$ (337) students replied it as no.

04- The students gave their feedback on "Whether the cycle stand has sufficient parking space"? 79.5\% (616) students rated yes while $20.5 \%$ (159) students rated no.

## Student's feedback on sports:

05- On being asked "whether the college has its own play ground?" 704 ( $90.8 \%$ ) students said yes while only 71 (9.2) students said no.

06- The students response to the question that "does the college has entertainment facilities?" $75.2 \%$ (583) students gave feedback as yes while $24.8 \%$ (192) gave feedback as no.

07- The students provided their feedback on the availability of sports equipment and facilities $68.1 \%$ (528) students replied as yes and $31.9 \%$ (247) students replied as no.

08- The students response to the question that "Does the sports officer provides them training?" 568 ( $73.3 \%$ ) students said yes and 207 ( $26.7 \%$ ) students said no.

09- Students feedback obtained on "whether the sports officer has dedication and commitment towards" sports 626 ( $80.8 \%$ ) students rated it as yes and 149 ( $19.2 \%$ ) students rated it as no.

## Student's feedback on canteen:

10- Asked on the maintenance of cleanliness in college canteen $7.1 \%$ (55) students rated it as excellent, $12 \%$ (93) students rated it as very good, $26.6 \%$ (206) students rated it as good, $33.5 \%$ (260) students rated it as average and $20.8 \%$ (161) students rated it as poor.

11- The students responded about the seating arrangement in canteen as follows :
$8 \%$ (62) students rated it as excellent
$12.6 \%(98)$ students rated it as very good
21.3 \% (165) students rated it as good
$37.3 \%$ (289) students rated it as average
$20.8 \%$ (161) students rated it as poor

12- The students feedback on proper lighting arrangement in canteen is as follows:
$15.4 \%$ (119) students rated it as excellent
$14.7 \%$ (114) students rated it as very good
$24.8 \%$ (192) students rated it as good
$30.5 \%$ (236) students rated it as average
$14.7 \%$ (114) students rated it as poor

13- The students feedback on proper drinking water facility in canteen:
$11 \%$ (85) students rated it as excellent
$9.8 \%(76)$ students rated it as very good
$22.5 \%$ (174) students rated it as good
29.5 \% (229) students rated it as average
$27.2 \%$ (211) students rated it as poor

14- On being question about the quality of edible items. The students responded as follows:
$11.4 \%$ (88) students rated it as excellent
$14.7 \%$ (114) students rated it as very good
$31.1 \%$ (241) students rated it as good
30.1 \% (233) students rated it as average
$12.8 \%(99)$ students rated it as poor

15- The students response about the behaviour of canteen staff is as follows:
$14.7 \%$ (114) students graded it as excellent
$18.5 \%(143)$ students graded it as very good
$31.2 \%$ (242) students graded it as good
$24 \%$ (186) students graded it as average
$11.6 \%$ (90) students graded it as poor

